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| André Bazin (b. 18 April 1918, Angers, France-d. 11 November 1958, Nogent-sur-Marne, France) was an influential French film critic active during the development of postwar film theory. Directing cine-clubs during the Nazi Occupation, he co-founded the monthly film magazine *Cahiers du cinéma* in 1951 with Jacques Doniol-Valcroze and Joseph-Marie Lo Duca, which he edited until his early death of leukaemia. Publishing 2,600 articles during his lifetime, he was preparing the four-volume collection of his writing, *Qu-est-ce que le cinéma?* [*What is Cinema?*], at the time of his death. A champion of Italian neorealism, Robert Flaherty, Jean Renoir, and Orson Welles, he helped launch filmmakers of the French New Wave [*Nouvelle vague*] who developed their formal convictions as writers at *Cahiers*, including Claude Chabrol, Jean-Luc Godard, Jacques Rivette, Eric Rohmer, and his foster-son François Truffaut. |
| André Bazin was an influential French film critic active during the development of postwar film theory. Directing cine-clubs during the Nazi Occupation, he co-founded the monthly film magazine *Cahiers du cinéma* in 1951 with Jacques Doniol-Valcroze and Joseph-Marie Lo Duca, which he edited until his early death of leukaemia. Publishing 2,600 articles during his lifetime, he was preparing the four-volume collection of his writing, *Qu-est-ce que le cinéma?* [*What is Cinema?*], at the time of his death. A champion of Italian neorealism, Robert Flaherty, Jean Renoir, and Orson Welles, he helped launch filmmakers of the French New Wave [*Nouvelle vague*] who developed their formal convictions as writers at *Cahiers*, including Claude Chabrol, Jean-Luc Godard, Jacques Rivette, Eric Rohmer, and his foster-son François Truffaut.  [Image: Bezin.jpg]  Figure 1 André Bazin and camera. Photo courtesy of Dudley Andrew/Florent Bazin  http://www.filmcomment.com/article/auteur-theory-auteurism  A progressive Catholic and lover of animals, Bazin’s philosophy is often reduced to a faith in the image, privileging documentary, long takes, and deep focus, thus stressing photography’s ability to capture reality over its rhetorical manipulation in film. Temporarily dismissed by French and Anglophone theorists in the 1970s and 1980s, Bazin’s work has since been the subject of theoretical reassessment, and his theory of realism is considered substantial and complex. Bazin’s axiomatic claim to the camera’s objectivity should be read through his influences in phenomenology and existentialism, in which reality is itself a source of mystery. His focus on ‘mise-en-scène’ and ‘découpage’ (two complex terms addressing the design of the film image, and a film’s storyboarding and execution, respectively) is a marked departure from Soviet theorists’ focus on montage in the 1920s and 1930s.  In his famous 1945 article, ‘The Ontology of the Photographic Image,’ he described the human impulse toward self-representation as a means of escaping death, from Egyptian mummification through to painting. Like other critics of the time, he determined photography and cinema as long-awaited modern tools satisfying a growing obsession with realism in the plastic arts. Yet while he identifies photography as embalming time, Bazin finds cinema to be ‘change mummified,’ and this inscription of the medium as an unparalleled chronicler of his tumultuous cultural moment should be considered when approaching his debated prescriptions for cinematic practice (*What is Cinema?* [14-15]).  [Image: Bezin.jpg]  Figure 2 André Bazin and his wife Janine Bazin with “Coco” on the cover of the January 1959 memorial issue of *Cahiers du cinéma*, two months after his death, copyright *Cahiers du cinema*  https://www.dropbox.com/s/1w8ykizvnpjvx5y/bazin\_tribute.JPG  After labouring over the photographic image as the key to film, he ends his 1945 article by pronouncing that ‘On the other hand, of course, cinema is also a language’ (16). Dudley Andrew posits that this abrupt shift indicates that visuality is always dependent on social meaning, since ‘What cinema *is* depends on the psychological power of photographic realism, but cinema’s actual *value* is historically constituted’ (*André Bazin*, xxvi). Bazin praised shots occupying the duration of entire scenes, with wide-angle lenses allowing sharp focus on the scenery typified by Welles’ *Citizen Kane* (1941). Bazin was also an admirer of culturally constituted literary works and their means of production. This adoption of film form as art can be observed in his students’ later definition of film as an act of personal expression in Truffaut's ‘la politique des auteurs’ (reformulated as ‘auteur theory’ by Andrew Sarris). However, while Bazin championed the genius of unique authorship, his concern was always for the medium itself, and his attention to technological change and politics continue to take on new meaning. |
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